


**IMP VIDEOS – SCAN QR**

CA Foundation May 2025




**LAW IMP TOPICS**  
**100% PASS HO**  
**JAOGE ITNA KARLO BS**

FREE FULLY UPDATED  
QUESTION BANK SOFT COPY



CA Foundation WALO




**LAW KAISE YAAD**  
**KARE ?**  
**SHORTCUT SIKHO**

100% TRIED AND TESTED  
MUST WATCH



CA Foundation WALO



**LAW ANS WRITING**  
**NAHI HOTA SIR?**  
**KAISE LIKHNA HAI?**

FREE GIFT - GET ALL  
VARIEITIES OF ANSWER HERE



CA Foundation WALO




**KEYWORDS KA KYA**  
**CHAKKAR HAI?**  
**MAAN SE CHALEGA!**

KEYWORDS KA JHANJHAT  
KHATAM ISKE BAAD



NADAN PARINDO



**1<sup>ST</sup> YA 2<sup>ND</sup> ATTEMPT**  
**MAI KAISE NIKALEGA**  
**APKA CA FOUNDATION**

3RD ATTEMPT HAI TOH KAISE  
MUMKIN HAI?



**Chapter: 3 - The Sale of Goods Act, 1930**

**Unit: 1 - Formation of the Contract of Sale**

**(Covers All RTP, MTP, PYQ, ICAI SM, MDTP till Jan 2025)**

21 - Kartik agreed to sell his laptop to Vasant for a price to be fixed by Kusum a hardware engineer. However, before the delivery of the laptop, Kartik changed his mind and did not share any particulars and configuration of the laptop with Kusum, which made her unable to do the valuation. Kusum refused to do valuation.

Vasant needed laptop for his project, so he promised Kartik that, if the laptop is delivered to him, he would pay a reasonable price for it. However, Kartik decided not to sell his laptop to Vasant. Now, Vasant wants to know from you, being a legal expert, whether Kartik is bound by his promise as he agreed earlier to deliver his laptop to him at a reasonable price. If he does not agree to deliver what is the other remedy available to Vasant? Advise, referring to the provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930.

5)a)i)3m,MDTP7,10, 5)a)i)3m,MTP1,Jan2025, 2)a)ii)3m,Sept2024

**Ans -** Section 10 of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930 provides for the determination of price by a third party.

1. Where there is an agreement to sell goods on the terms that price has to be fixed by the third party and he either does not or cannot make such valuation, the agreement will be void.
2. In case the third party is prevented by the default of either party from fixing the price, the party at fault will be liable to the damages to the other party who is not at fault.

In the instant case, as Kusum cannot do valuation of laptop due to non-sharing of particulars and configuration by Kartik who was bound by his promise, the agreement will be void.

The other remedy available to Vasant is that he can claim damages from Kartik as he will be liable for the damages to Vasant who is not at fault.

---

20 - Sony, a friend of Priya wanted to buy her two-wheeler. Priya agreed to sell her two-wheeler to Sony and it was decided that price of her two-wheeler will be fixed by Priya's father, who is an auto dealer. Priya immediately handed over the keys to Sony. However, Priya's father refused to fix the price as he did not want Priya to sell her vehicle. Priya expressed her inability to sell the two-wheeler to Sony and asked for return, but Sony refused to return the same. Explain-

(i) Can Priya take-back the vehicle from Sony?

(ii) Will your answer be different, if Priya had not handed over the vehicle to Sony?

2)a)7m,MDTP8,9, 2)a)7m,MTP2,Jan2025, 2)a)7m,June2024

**Ans -** Ascertainment of price (Section 9 of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930):

By virtue of Section 9, the price in a contract of sale may be-

- (1) fixed by the contract, or
- (2) agreed to be fixed in a manner provided by the contract, e.g., by a valuer, or
- (3) determined by the course of dealing between the parties.

Agreement to sell at valuation (Section 10):

Section 10 provides for the determination of price by a third party.

1. Where there is an agreement to sell goods on the terms that price is to be fixed by the valuation of a third party and that third party either does not or cannot make such valuation, the agreement is thereby avoided. However, a buyer who has received and appropriated the goods, must pay a reasonable price for them.

2. In case the third party is prevented from making the valuation by the default of either party, the party not at fault may maintain a suit for damages against the party in fault.

(i) In the instant case, Priya handed over the keys of her two-wheeler to Sony and it was decided between them that price of the vehicle will be fixed by Priya's father. However, Priya's father refused to fix the price as he did not want Priya to sell her vehicle. As the keys have already been handed over to Sony, Priya cannot take back the keys from Sony and Sony shall pay reasonable price to Priya for the two-wheeler.

(ii) If Priya had not handed over the vehicle to Sony, the contract could have been avoided as Priya's father refused to fix the price of the vehicle.

---

**19 - Kapil entered in a contract with Rahul to purchase 1000 litres of mustard oil at the price which should be fixed by Akhilesh. Rahul already delivered 600 litres out of 1000 litres to Kapil but when remaining 400 litres was ready to deliver, Akhilesh denied fixing the price of mustard oil. Rahul asked Kapil to return the oil already delivered and avoid the delivery of 400 litres. Kapil sued Rahul for non-delivery of remaining 400 litres mustard oil. Advise in the light of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930.**

**1)a)7m,MDTP1, 2)a)7m,MTP1,June2024**

**Ans -** By virtue of Section 9 of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, the price in the contract of sale may be fixed by the contract, or agreed to be fixed in a manner provided by the contract, e.g., by a valuer, or determined by the course of dealings between the parties.

Further, section 10 provides for the determination of price by a third party in the following manner:

- (a) Where there is an agreement to sell goods on the terms that price has to be fixed by the third party and he either does not or cannot make such valuation, the agreement will be void.
- (b) In case the third party is prevented by the default of either party from fixing the price, the party at fault will be liable to the damages to the other party who is not at fault.
- (c) However, a buyer who has received and appropriated the goods must pay a reasonable price for them in any eventuality.

In the instant case, Kapil contracted Rahul to purchase 1000 litres of mustard oil at the price fixed by Akhilesh. After, Rahul delivered 600 litres Akhilesh denied fixing the price of mustard oil. Rahul demanded back the oil already delivered and cancel the delivery of 400 litres. Kapil sued Rahul for non-delivery of remaining 400 litres mustard oil.

On the basis of above provisions and facts, Kapil is liable to pay a reasonable price of 600 litres while for remaining 400 litres, contract may be avoided.

**18 - Samar was in search of a second-hand car. For this purpose, he approached "Car Wala 007", a dealer in pre-owned cars. The sales manager of "Car Wala 007" showed him three cars which were standing in the parking lane just outside the office. Samar finalised red Wagon R car. After completing the documenting formalities and receiving the price of car, sales manager of "Car Wala 007" handed over the key of car to Samar. But when Samar was coming to parking area for picking the car, the electric poll fell on the car which badly damaged the car. Samar claimed that repair expenses of the car should be borne by "Car Wala 007" as car was not delivered to him. Referring to the provisions of the Sales of Goods Act 1930, state who will be liable to get the car repaired?**

**5)a)6m,MTP2,Dec2023**

**Ans -** According to the provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, there are three modes of delivery, (i) Actual delivery, (ii) Constructive delivery and (iii) Symbolic delivery.

Symbolic delivery is a delivery of a thing in token of a transfer of something else, i.e., delivery of goods in the course of transit may be made by handing over documents of title to goods, like bill of lading or railway receipt or delivery orders or the key of a warehouse containing the goods is handed over to buyer.

In the instant case, Samar purchased a pre-owned car from "Car Wala 007" which was standing in the parking lane just outside of office. After completing the documenting formalities, he received the key of car from sales manager of "Car Wala 007". But when he was coming to parking area for picking the car, the car which badly damaged due to fall of the electric poll on the car.

On the basis of above provisions and facts, it is clear that handing over the key of car is the symbolic delivery of car. Hence, Samar being owner of the car must bear the repair expenses of car.

**17 - Ram Bilas Yadav is a farmer. Anna Chips Company approached him and entered in a contract to supply 100 quintals of potatoes which to be grown in the fields belonging to Ram Bilas Yadav @ ` 1000/- per quintal. Anna Chips Company made the payment of price but delivery to be made after six months. Before the time of delivery, the whole crop of potatoes was destroyed due to flood. Anna Chips Company demanded the payment of price which is already made by it. Ram Bilas Yadav denied returning the price by saying that contract of sale was already entered and hence crop belongs to Anna Chips Company. Hence loss of crop must be borne by it. Referring to the provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, whether Anna Chips Company recover amount from Ram Bilas Yadav?**

**5)a)6m, MTP1, Dec2023**

**Ans -** As per Section 4(3) of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, where under a contract of sale, the property in the goods is transferred from the seller to the buyer, the contract is called a sale, but where the transfer of the property in the goods is to take place at a future time or subject to some condition thereafter to be fulfilled, the contract is called an agreement to sell and as per Section 4(4), an agreement to sell becomes a sale when the time elapses or the conditions are fulfilled subject to which the property in the goods is to be transferred.

Further Section 2(6) defines "future goods" means goods to be manufactured or produced or acquired by the seller after making of the contract of sale.

In the instant case, on the basis of above provisions and facts, it can be said that there was an agreement to sell between Ram Bilas Yadav and Anna Chips Company because the goods under agreement was future goods. Even the payment was made by Anna Chips Company, the property in goods can be transferred only after the goods is ascertained. As the goods was not ascertained, property is not passed to buyer. Hence, Ram Bilas Yadav must return the price to Anna Chips Company.

**16 - State the difference between Sale and Agreement to sell.**

**RTP, June2023**

**Ans - The differences between Sale and Agreement to sell are as follows:**

Basis of difference	Sale	Agreement to sell
Transfer of	The property in the goods	Property in the goods passes to the

property	passes to the buyer immediately.	buyer on future date or on fulfilment of some condition.
Nature of contract	It is an executed contract i.e. contract for which consideration has been paid.	It is an executory contract i.e. contract for which consideration is to be paid at a future date.
Remedies for breach	The seller can sue the buyer for the price of the goods because of the passing of the property therein to the buyer.	The aggrieved party can sue for damages only and not for the price, unless the price was payable at a stated date.
Liability of parties	A subsequent loss or destruction of the goods is the liability of the buyer.	Such loss or destruction is the liability of the seller.
Burden of risk	Risk of loss is that of buyer since risk follows ownership.	Risk of loss is that of seller.
Nature of rights	Creates Jus in rem means right against the whole world.	Creates Jus in personam means rights against a particular party to the contract.
Right of resale	The seller cannot resell the goods.	The seller may sell the goods since ownership is with the seller.
In case of insolvency of seller	The official assignee will not be able to take over the goods but will recover the price from the buyer.	The official assignee will acquire control over the goods but the price will not be recoverable.
In case of insolvency of buyer	The official assignee will have control over the goods.	The official assignee will not have any control over the goods.

15 - Distinguish between 'Sale' and 'Hire Purchase' under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930.

6)c)7m,MDTP7, 6)c)7m,MTP1,Jan2025, 4)a)6m,Dec2021

Ans - The main points of distinction between the 'Sale' and 'Hire-Purchase' are as follows:

Basis of difference	Sale	Hire-Purchase
Time of passing property	Property in the goods is transferred to the buyer immediately at the time of the contract	Property in goods passes to the hirer upon payment of the last installment.
Position of the property	The position of the buyer is that of the owner of the goods	The position of the hirer is that of a bailee till he pays the last installment.
Termination of contract	The buyer cannot terminate the contract and is bound to pay the price of the goods	The hirer may, if he so likes, terminate the contract by returning the goods to its owner without any liability to pay the remaining installments.
Burden of Risk of Insolvency of the buyer	The seller takes the risk of any loss resulting from the insolvency of the buyer	The owner takes no such risk, for if the hirer fails to pay an installment, the owner has right to take back the goods.
Transfer of title	The buyer can pass a good title to a bona fide purchaser from him	The hirer cannot pass any title even to a bona fide purchaser.
Resale	The buyer in sale can resell the goods	The hire purchaser cannot resell unless he has paid all the installments.

14 - What are the consequences of destruction of specified goods, before making of contract and after the agreement to sell under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930.

6)c)ii)3m,MDTP4, RTP,Jan2025, 6)c)ii)3m,MTP3,June2024, RTP,Dec2023, 1)c)4m,June2022

**Ans - Goods perishing before making of Contract (Section 7 of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930):** In accordance with the provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930 as contained in Section 7, a contract for the sale of specific goods is void, if at the time when the contract was made; the goods without the knowledge of the seller, perished or become so damaged as no longer to answer to their description in the contract, then the contract is void ab initio.

**Goods perishing before sale but after agreement to sell (Section 8 of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930):** Where there is an agreement to sell specific goods, and subsequently the goods without any fault on the part of the seller or buyer perish or become so damaged as no longer to answer to their description in the agreement before the risk passes to the buyer, the agreement is thereby avoided or becomes void.

**13 - Avyukt purchased 100 Kgs of wheat from Bhaskar at Rs. 30 per kg. Bhaskar says that wheat is in his warehouse in the custody of Kishore, the warehouse keeper. Kishore confirmed Avyukt that he can take the delivery of wheat from him and till then he is holding wheat on Avyukt's behalf. Before Avyukt picks the goods from warehouse, the whole wheat in the warehouse has flowed in flood. Now Avyukt wants his price on the contention that no delivery has been done by seller. Whether Avyukt is right with his views under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930.**

5)a)i)4m,MDTP6, 5)a)i)4m,MTP2,Sept2024, RTP,Sept2024, RTP,June2023,  
5)a)6m,MTP2,June2022, 5)a)6m,MTP2,Dec2021

**Ans -** As per the provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930 there are three modes of delivery, i) Actual delivery, ii) Constructive delivery and iii) Symbolic delivery. When delivery is affected without any change in the custody or actual possession of the things, it is called constructive delivery or delivery by acknowledgement. Constructive delivery takes place when a person in possession of goods belonging to seller acknowledges to the buyer that he is holding the goods on buyer's behalf.

In the instant case, Kishore acknowledges Avyukt that he is holding wheat on Avyukt's behalf. Before picking the wheat from warehouse by Avyukt, whole wheat was flowed in flood.

On the basis of above provisions and facts, it is clear that possession of the wheat has been transferred through constructive delivery. Hence, Avyukt is not right. He cannot claim the price back.

**12 - X contracted to sell his car to Y. They did not discuss the price of the car at all. X later refused to sell his car to Y on the ground that the agreement was void being uncertain about price. Can Y demand the car under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930?**

5)a)ii)3m,MDTP4, 5)a)ii)3m,MTP3,June2024, RTP,Dec2021, ICAI Module

OR

**Mr. A contracted to sell his swift car to Mr. B. Both missed to discuss the price of the said swift car. Later, Mr. A refused to sell his swift car to Mr. B on the ground that the**

**agreement was void being uncertain about the price. Does Mr. B have any right against Mr. A under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930? RTP, June 2024, 1)c)4m, June 2023**

**Ans** - Payment of the price by the buyer is an important ingredient of a contract of sale. If the parties totally ignore the question of price while making the contract, it would not become an uncertain and invalid agreement. It will rather be a valid contract and the buyer shall pay a reasonable price. (Section 9 of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930)

In the given case, X and Y have entered into a contract for sale of car but they did not fix the price of the car. X refused to sell the car to Y on this ground. Y can legally demand the car from X and X can recover a reasonable price of the car from Y.

**OR**

As per the provisions of Section 2(10) of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, price is the consideration for sale of goods and therefore is a requirement to make a contract of sale. Section 2(10) is to be read with Section 9 of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930.

According to Section 9 of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, the price in a contract of sale may be fixed by the contract or may be left to be fixed in manner thereby agreed or may be determined by the course of dealing between the parties.

Even though both the parties missed to discuss the price of the car while making the contract, it will be a valid contract, rather than being uncertain and void; the buyer shall pay a reasonable price in this situation.

In the given case, Mr. A and Mr. B have entered into a contract for sale of a motor car, but they did not fix the price of the same. Mr. A refused to sell the car to Mr. B on this ground. Mr. B can legally demand the car from Mr. A and Mr. A can recover a reasonable price of the car from Mr. B.

---

**11 - Archika went to a jewellery shop and asked the shopkeeper to show the gold bangles with white polish. The shopkeeper informed that he has gold bangles with lots of designs but not in white polish rather if Archika select gold bangles in his shop, he will arrange white polish on those gold bangles without any extra cost. Archika select a set of designer bangles and pay for that. The shopkeeper requested Archika to come after two days for delivery of those bangles so that white polish can be done on those bangles. When Archika comes after two days to take delivery of bangles, she noticed that due to white polishing, the design of bangles has been disturbed. Now, she wants to avoid the contract and asked the shopkeeper to give her money back but shopkeeper has denied for the same.**

(a) State with reasons whether Archika can recover the amount under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930.

(b) What would be your answer if shopkeeper says that he can repair those bangles but he will charge extra cost for same?

Sim, 2)a)7m, MDTP2, 5, Sim, RTP, Jan2025, Sim, 5)a)7m, MTP1, Sept2024, RTP, Dec2023, 5)a)6m, MTP2, Dec2022, 5)a)6m, June2022, RTP, Dec2021

**Ans** - As per Section 4(3) of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, where under a contract of sale, the property in the goods is transferred from the seller to the buyer, the contract is called a sale, but where the transfer of the property in the goods is to take place at a future time or subject to some condition thereafter to be fulfilled, the contract is called an agreement to sell and as per Section 4(4), an agreement to sell becomes a sale when the time elapses or the conditions are fulfilled subject to which the property in the goods is to be transferred.

(a) On the basis of above provisions and facts given in the question, it can be said that there is an agreement to sell between Archika and shopkeeper and not a sale. Even the payment was made by Archika, the property in goods can be transferred only after the fulfilment of conditions fixed between buyer and seller. As the white polish was done but original design is disturbed due to polishing, bangles are not in original position. Hence, Archika has right to avoid the agreement to sell and can recover the price paid.

(b) On the other hand, if shopkeeper offers to bring the bangles in original position by repairing, he cannot charge extra cost from Archika. Even he has to bear some expenses for repair; he cannot charge it from Archika.

---

**10 - What are the consequences of "destruction of goods" under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, where the goods have been destroyed after the agreement to sell but before the sale is affected.** **RTP, Dec2020**

**Ans** - Destruction of Goods-Consequences:

(i) In accordance with the provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930 as contained in Section 7, a contract for the sale of specific goods is void if at the time when the contract was made; the goods without the knowledge of the seller, perished or become so damaged as no longer to answer to their description in the contract, then the contract is void ab initio. This section is based on the rule that where both the parties to a contract are under a mistake as to a matter of fact essential to a contract, the contract is void.

(ii) In a similar way Section 8 provides that an agreement to sell specific goods becomes void if subsequently the goods, without any fault on the part of the seller or buyer, perish or become so

damaged as no longer to answer to their description in agreement before the risk passes to the buyer.

It may, however, be noted that section 7 & 8 apply only to specific goods and not to unascertained goods. If the agreement is to sell a certain quantity of unascertained goods, the perishing of even the whole quantity of such goods in the possession of the seller will not relieve him of his obligation to deliver the goods.

**09 - Classify the following transactions according to the types of goods they are:**

- (i) A wholesaler of cotton has 100 bales in his godown. He agrees to sell 50 bales and these bales were selected and set aside.
- (ii) A agrees to sell to B one packet of salt out of the lot of one hundred packets lying in his shop.
- (iii) T agrees to sell to S all the oranges which will be produced in his garden this year.

5)a)ii)3m,MDTP3,6,8, 5)a)ii)3m,MTP2,Jan2025, 5)a)ii)3m,MTP2,June2024,  
1)c)4m,MTP1,June2023, RTP,June2022, 1)c)4m,MTP1,Dec2020, RTP,Dec2019, ICAI  
Module

**Ans -** (i) A wholesaler of cotton has 100 bales in his godown. He agrees to sell 50 bales and these bales were selected and set aside. On selection the goods becomes ascertained. In this case, the contract is for the sale of ascertained goods, as the cotton bales to be sold are identified and agreed after the formation of the contract.

(ii) If A agrees to sell to B one packet of salt out of the lot of one hundred packets lying in his shop, it is a sale of unascertained goods because it is not known which packet is to be delivered.

(iii) T agrees to sell to S all the oranges which will be produced in his garden this year. It is contract of sale of future goods, amounting to 'an agreement to sell.'

**08 - Differentiate between Ascertained and Unascertained Goods with example.**

1)c)4m,Dec2018

**Ans - Ascertained Goods** are those goods which are identified in accordance with the agreement after the contract of sale is made. This term is not defined in the Act but has been judicially interpreted. In actual practice the term 'ascertained goods' is used in the same sense as 'specific goods.' When from a lot or out of large quantity of unascertained goods, the number or quantity contracted for is identified, such identified goods are called ascertained goods.

**Unascertained goods:** The goods which are not specifically identified or ascertained at the time of making of the contract are known as 'unascertained goods'. They are indicated or defined only by description or sample.

**07 - Explain the term goods and other related terms under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930.**

1)a)4m, MTP2, Dec2018

**Ans -** "Goods" means every kind of movable property other than actionable claims and money; and includes stock and shares, growing crops, grass, and things attached to or forming part of the land, which are agreed to be severed before sale or under the contract of sale. [Section 2(7) of the Sales of Goods Act, 1930]

'Actionable claims' are claims, which can be enforced only by an action or suit, e.g., debt. A debt is not a movable property or goods. Even the Fixed Deposit Receipts (FDR) are considered as goods under Section 176 of the Indian Contract Act read with Section 2(7) of the Sales of Goods Act.

**06 - Explain the difference between Sale and Agreement to sell under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930.**

1)c)4m, MTP2, June2019, 1)c)4m, MTP1, June2018

**Ans -** The differences between the sale and agreement to sell is as follows:

Basis of difference	Sale	Agreement to sell
Transfer of property	The property in the goods passes to the buyer immediately.	Property in the goods passes to the buyer on future date or on fulfilment of some condition.
Nature of contract	It is an executed contract. i.e. contract for which consideration has been paid.	It is an executory contract. i.e. contract for which consideration is to be paid at a future date.
Remedies for breach	The seller can sue the buyer for the price of the goods because of the passing of the property therein to the buyer.	The aggrieved party can sue for damages only and not for the price, unless the price was payable at a stated date.
Liability of parties	A subsequent loss or destruction of the goods is the liability of the buyer.	Such loss or destruction is the liability of the seller.

Burden of risk	Risk of loss is that of buyer since risk follows ownership.	Risk of loss is that of seller.
Nature of rights	Creates Jus in rem	Creates Jus in personam
Right of resale	The seller cannot resell the goods.	The seller may sell the goods since ownership is with the seller.

**05 - State briefly the essential element of a contract of sale under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930.**

RTP, June 2021, RTP, June 2020, RTP, June 2019, 1)c)4m, MTP1, Dec 2018, RTP, Dec 2018

**Ans - Essentials of Contract of Sale**

The following elements must co-exist so as to constitute a contract of sale of goods under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930:

- (i) There must be at least two parties.
- (ii) The subject matter of the contract must necessarily be goods covering only movable property. It may be either existing goods, owned or possessed by the seller or future goods.
- (iii) A price in money (not in kind) should be paid or promised. But there is nothing to prevent the consideration from being partly in money and partly in kind.
- (iv) A transfer of property in goods from seller to the buyer must take place. The contract of sale is made by an offer to buy or sell goods for a price by one party and the acceptance of such offer by other.
- (v) A contract of sale must be absolute or conditional [section 4(2)].
- (vi) All other essential elements of a valid contract must be present in the contract of sale, e.g. competency of parties, legality of object and consideration etc.

**04 - Describe the consequences of "destruction of goods" under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, where the goods have been destroyed after the agreement to sell but before the sale is affected.** RTP, June 2018

**Ans - Destruction of Goods-Consequences:** In accordance with the provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930 as contained in Section 7, a contract for the sale of specific goods is void if at the time when the contract was made; the goods without the knowledge of the seller, perished

or become so damaged as no longer to answer to their description in the contract, then the contract is void ab initio. This section is based on the rule that where both the parties to a contract are under a mistake as to a matter of fact essential to a contract, the contract is void.

In a similar way Section 8 provides that an agreement to sell specific goods becomes void if subsequently the goods, without any fault on the part of the seller or buyer, perish or become so damaged as no longer to answer to their description in agreement before the risk passes to the buyer. This rule is also based on the ground of impossibility of performance as stated above.

It may, however, be noted that section 7 and 8 apply only to specific goods and not to unascertained goods. If the agreement is to sell a certain quantity of unascertained goods, the perishing of even the whole quantity of such goods in the possession of the seller will not relieve him of his obligation to deliver the goods.

---

### 03 - Explain the term "Delivery and its form" under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930?

4)a)6m,MTP1,Dec2022, 1)c)4m,MTP2,June2021, 1)c)4m,MTP1,Dec2019,  
1)c)4m,MTP1,June2019, 1)c)4m,June2018, RTP,June2018

**Ans - Delivery and its forms:** Delivery means voluntary transfer of possession from one person to another [Section 2(2)]. As a general rule, delivery of goods may be made by doing anything, which has the effect of putting the goods in the possession of the buyer, or any person authorized to hold them on his behalf.

**Forms of delivery:** Following are the kinds of delivery for transfer of possession:

**(a) Actual delivery:** When the goods are physically delivered to the buyer.

**(b) Constructive delivery:** When it is effected without any change in the custody or actual possession of the thing as in the case of delivery by attornment (acknowledgement) e.g., where a warehouseman holding the goods of A agrees to hold them on behalf of B, at A's request.

**(c) Symbolic delivery:** When there is a delivery of a thing in token of a transfer of something else, i.e., delivery of goods in the course of transit may be made by handing over documents of title to goods, like bill of lading or railway receipt or delivery orders or the key of a warehouse containing the goods is handed over to buyer.

---

**02 - A agrees to buy a new TV from a shop keeper for ` 30,000 payable partly in cash of ` 20,000 and partly in exchange of old TV set. Is it a valid Contract of Sale of Goods?**

**Give reasons for your answer.**

**ICAI Module**

**Ans** - It is necessary under the Sales of Goods Act, 1930 that the goods should be exchanged for money. If the goods are exchanged for goods, it will not be called a sale. It will be considered as barter. However, a contract for transfer of movable property for a definite price payable partly in goods and partly in cash is held to be a contract of Sale of Goods.

In the given case, the new TV set is agreed to be sold for ` 30,000 and the price is payable partly in exchange of old TV set and partly in cash of ` 20,000. So, in this case, it is a valid contract of sale under the Sales of Goods Act, 1930.

---

**Q1 - A agrees to sell to B 100 bags of sugar arriving on a ship from Australia to India within next two months. Unknown to the parties, the ship has already sunk. Does B have any right against A under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930? ICAI Module**

**Ans** - In this case, B, the buyer has no right against A the seller. Section 8 of the Sales of Goods Act, 1930 provides that where there is an agreement to sell specific goods and the goods without any fault of either party perish, damaged or lost, the agreement is thereby avoided. This provision is based on the ground of supervening impossibility of performance which makes a contract void.


So, all the following conditions required to treat it as a void contract are fulfilled in the above case:

- (i) There is an agreement to sell between A and B
- (ii) It is related to specific goods
- (iii) The goods are lost because of the sinking of ship before the property or risk passes to the buyer.
- (iv) The loss of goods is not due to the fault of either party.

-----XXX-----

**IMP VIDEOS – SCAN QR**

CA Foundation May 2025



**LAW IMP TOPICS**  
100% PASS HO  
JAOGE ITNA KARLO BS

FREE FULLY UPDATED  
QUESTION BANK SOFT COPY



CA Foundation WALO




**LAW KAISE YAAD  
KARE ?**  
SHORTCUT SIKHO

100% TRIED AND TESTED  
MUST WATCH



CA Foundation WALO



**LAW ANS WRITING  
NAHI HOTA SIR?**  
KAISE LIKHNA HAI?

FREE GIFT - GET ALL  
VARIETIES OF ANSWER HERE



CA Foundation WALO




**KEYWORDS KA KYA  
CHAKKAR HAI?**  
MAAN SE CHALEGA!

KEYWORDS KA JHANJHAT  
KHATAM ISKE BAAD



NADAN PARINDO



**1<sup>ST</sup> YA 2<sup>ND</sup> ATTEMPT  
MAI KAISE NIKALEGA**  
APKA CA FOUNDATION

3<sup>RD</sup> ATTEMPT HAI TOH KAISE  
MUMKIN HAI?



CA Nikesh Agrawal